arXiv:1501.00461v3 [math.PR] 14 Jul 2015

Multidimensional quadratic BSDEs with separated generators

Asgar Jamneshan* Michael Kupper† Peng Luo‡

July 2015

Abstract

We consider multidimensional quadratic BSDEs with generators which can be separated into a coupled and an uncoupled part which allows to analyse the degree of coupling of the system in terms of the growth coefficients. We provide conditions on the relationship between the size of the terminal condition and the degree of coupling which guarantee existence and uniqueness of solutions.

Keywords: multidimensional quadratic BSDEs, Banach's fixed point theorem, BMO martingale theory

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60H30, 93E20

1 Introduction

Backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) were introduced by Bismut [1]. A BSDE is an equation of the form

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{1}$$

where W is a d-dimensional Brownian motion, the terminal condition ξ is an n-dimensional random variable, and $g : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the generator. A solution consists of a pair of predictable processes (Y, Z) with values in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$, called the value and control process, respectively. The first existence and uniqueness result for BSDEs with an L^2-terminal condition and a generator satisfying a Lipschitz growth condition is due to Pardoux and Peng [14]. In case that the generator satisfies a quadratic growth condition in the control z, the situation is more involved and a general existence theory does not exist. Frei and dos Reis [9] and Frei [8] provide counterexamples which show that multidimensional quadratic BSDEs may fail to have a global solution. In the one-dimensional case the existence of quadratic BSDE was shown by Kobylanski [12] for bounded terminal conditions, and by Briand and Hu [3, 4] for unbounded terminal conditions. Solvability results for superquadratic BSDEs are discussed in Delbaen et al. [7], see also Masiero and Richou [13], Richou [15] and Cheridito and Nam [5].

The focus of the present work lies on multidimensional quadratic BSDEs, which naturally arise in equilibrium pricing models in financial mathematics. In case that the terminal condition is small enough the existence and uniqueness of a solution was first shown by Tevzadze [16]. Cheridito and

---

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz; asgar.jamneshan@uni-konstanz.de

†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz; kupper@uni-konstanz.de

‡Qilu Securities Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University and Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz; peng.luo@uni-konstanz.de. Luo's research is partially supported by China Scholarship Council (File No. 201306220101), NSF of China (No. 11221061) and the Project 111 (No. B12023).

Nam [6] and Hu and Tang [10] obtain local solvability on $[T-\varepsilon, T]$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ of systems of BSDEs with subquadratic generators and diagonally quadratic generators respectively, which under additional assumptions on the generator can be extended to global solutions. Cheridito and Nam [6] provide solvability for Markovian quadratic BSDEs and projectable quadratic BSDEs . Frei [8] introduced the notion of split solution and studied the existence of multidimensional quadratic BSDEs by considering a special kind of terminal condition. In Bahlali et al. [2] existence is shown when the generator $g(s, y, z)$ is strictly subquadratic in z and satisfies some monotonicity condition.

For the sake of illustration of our results we consider the following system of quadratic BSDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^1 &= \xi^1 + \int_t^T \theta_1 |Z_s^1|^2 + \vartheta_1 |Z_s^2|^2 ds - \int_t^T Z_s^1 dW_s, \\ Y_t^2 &= \xi^2 + \int_t^T \vartheta_2 |Z_s^1|^2 + \theta_2 |Z_s^2|^2 ds - \int_t^T Z_s^2 dW_s, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $t \in [0,T]$, $\xi^i \in L^\infty$ and $\theta_i, \vartheta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1,2$. In the case that $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2 = 0$, the system (2) reduces to decoupled one-dimensional quadratic BSDEs, which by Kobylanski [12] have solutions for every terminal conditions $\xi^i \in L^\infty$ and $\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1,2$. Moreover, by Tevzadze [16] the system (2) has a solution whenever the terminal conditions ξ^1 and ξ^2 are small enough. In the present work we give two different sets of conditions on the interplay between the parameters θ^i, ϑ^i and the terminal conditions ξ^i, $i = 1,2$, which guarantee the solvability of system (2) in Section 2. For instance, given θ^i and ξ^i the system (2) has a solution if $|\vartheta^i|$ is small enough for $i = 1,2$. To the best of our knowledge there is no literature which can answer this question.

The general case is treated in Section 3. We consider generators which can be separated into two parts: the coupled and the uncoupled part. We use the growth coefficients of the coupled part to characterize the degree of the coupling. In the first step of the construction of the solution we view the coupled part as a parameter and solve in Lemma A.1 a 1-dimensional quadratic BSDE by means of Theorem 2 in [3]. This leads to a bounded set of candidate solutions where the value process is uniformly bounded and the control process is bounded in BMO. These bounds in combination with our conditions on the interplay between the parameters allow in a second step to apply Banach's fixed point theorem. If the generator is independent of the value process, the method allows to consider unbounded terminal conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state our setting and main results for coupled systems with partial dependence. Section 3 is devoted to fully coupled systems. We present an auxiliary result for 1-dimensional quadratic BSDEs in Appendix A, and recall some properties of BMO martingales in Appendix B.

2 Coupled systems with partial dependence

Fix a real number $T > 0$, and let $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a d-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the augmented filtration generated by the Brownian motion W. For two real numbers $a, b \geq 0$, the minimum and maximum of a and b are denoted by $a \wedge b$ and $a \vee b$ respectively. The Euclidean norm is denoted by $|\cdot|$ and we denote by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the L^∞-norm. We assume that $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}$ and denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the predictable σ-algebra on $\Omega \times [0,T]$. Inequalities and equalities between random variables and processes are understood in the P-almost sure and $P \otimes dt$-almost sure sense respectively. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the space of $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued predictable and continuous processes X with $\|X\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p := E[(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t|)^p] < \infty$, and by $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of $\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$-valued predictable processes Z with $\|Z\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p := E[(\int_0^T |Z_u|^2\, du)^{p/2}] < \infty$. For a suitable integrand Z, we denote by $Z \cdot W$ the stochastic integral $(\int_0^t Z_u\, dW_u)_{t\in[0,T]}$ of Z with respect to W. Let $\mathcal{S}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the space of all n-dimensional continuous adapted processes such that

$$\|Y\|_\infty := \|\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y_t|\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of stopping times with values in $[0,T]$.

By a solution we mean a pair of predictable processes (Y,Z) such that (1) holds and $t\mapsto Y_t$ is continuous, $t\mapsto Z_t$ belongs to $L^2([0,T])$ and $t\mapsto g(t,Y_t,Z_t)$ belongs to $L^1([0,T])$ P-a.s..

In the following, we give two existence results for the system (2) under two different conditions on the interplay between terminal conditions and coefficients. We assume $\vartheta_1\ne 0,\ \vartheta_2\ne 0$.

Theorem 2.1 *If $\theta_1=\theta_2=0$ and suppose that*

- *(i)* $8|\vartheta_2|\|\xi^1\|^2_\infty \le \|\xi^2\|_\infty$, $8|\vartheta_1|\|\xi^2\|^2_\infty \le \|\xi^1\|_\infty$,
- *(ii)* $16|\vartheta_1|\|\xi^2\|_\infty \le 1$, $16|\vartheta_2|\|\xi^1\|_\infty\le 1$,

then the system (2) *admits a unique solution* (Y,Z) *such that* Y *is bounded and* $\|Z^1\cdot W\|_{BMO}\le 2\|\xi^1\|_\infty$ *and* $\|Z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}\le 2\|\xi^2\|_\infty$.

Proof. For any $z\cdot W\in BMO$, it holds $\int_0^T|z_s|^2ds\in L^2$. Fix $i=1,2$. By [14, Lemma 2.1], the BSDE

$$Y^i_t=\xi^i+\int_t^T\vartheta_i|z_s|^2ds-\int_t^T Z^i_s dW_s,\ t\in[0,T], \tag{3}$$

admits a unique solution $(Y^i,Z^i)\in\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{R})\times\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $t\in[0,T]$, taking conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$, one obtains

$$Y^i_t=E\left[\xi^i+\int_t^T\vartheta_i|z_s|^2ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right],$$

and thus

$$|Y^i_t|\le\|\xi^i\|_\infty+|\vartheta_i|\|z\cdot W\|^2_{BMO}.$$

By Itô's formula, it holds

$$|Y^i_t|^2+\int_t^T|Z^i_s|^2ds=|\xi^i|^2+2\vartheta_i\int_t^T Y^i_s|z_s|^2ds-2\int_t^T Y^i_sZ^i_sdW_s.$$

Taking conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}E\left[\int_t^T|Z^i_s|^2\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right]&\le E\left[|\xi^i|^2+2\vartheta_i\int_t^T Y^i_s|z_s|^2ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\\&\le\|\xi^i\|^2_\infty+2|\vartheta_i|\left(\|\xi^i\|_\infty+|\vartheta_i|\|z\cdot W\|^2_{BMO}\right)E\left[\int_t^T|z_s|^2ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right].\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|Z^i\cdot W\|^2_{BMO}\le\|\xi^i\|^2_\infty+2|\vartheta_i|\|\xi^i\|_\infty\|z\cdot W\|^2_{BMO}+2|\vartheta_i|^2\|z\cdot W\|^4_{BMO}.$$

Let $M=\left\{(z^1,z^2):\|z^1\cdot W\|_{BMO}\le 2\|\xi^1\|_\infty,\|z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}\le 2\|\xi^2\|_\infty\right\}$. For $(z^1,z^2)\in M$, define $I(z^1,z^2)=(Z^1,Z^2)$, where Z^i is the second component of the solution of (3) when z is replaced by z^2 for $i=1$, and z^1 for $i=2$. By assumption (i), it is easy to check that I maps M into itself.

For $(z^1,z^2),(\bar z^1,\bar z^2)\in M$, let $(Z^1,Z^2)=I(z^1,z^2),(\bar Z^1,\bar Z^2)=I(\bar z^1,\bar z^2)$. Denote $\delta Z^i=Z^i-\bar Z^i$, $\delta z^i=z^i-\bar z^i$, $\delta Y^i=Y^i-\bar Y^i$ for $i=1,2$. Since

$$\delta Y_t^1=\int_t^T\vartheta_1\left(z_s^2+\bar z_s^2\right)\delta z_s^2ds-\int_t^T\delta Z_s^1dW_s,$$

it follows from Itô's formula that

$$|\delta Y_t^1|^2+\int_t^T|\delta Z_s^1|^2ds=2\vartheta_1\int_t^T\delta Y_s^1\left(z_s^2+\bar z_s^2\right)\delta z_s^2ds-2\int_t^TY_s^1\delta Z_s^1dW_s.$$

Taking conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ and using $2ab\le\frac{1}{4}a^2+4b^2$, one has

$$|\delta Y_t^1|^2+E\left[\int_t^T|\delta Z_s^1|^2ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\le\frac{1}{4}\|\delta Y^1\|_\infty^2+4|\vartheta_1|^2E^2\left[\int_t^T\left(|z_s^2|+|\bar z_s^2|\right)|\delta z_s^2|ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right].$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\delta Y^1\|_\infty^2+\|\delta Z^1\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2\right)&\le\|\delta Y^1\|_\infty^2\vee\|\delta Z^1\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2\\&\le\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\left\{|\delta Y_\tau^1|^2+E\left[\int_\tau^T|\delta Z^1|^2ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_\tau\right]\right\},\end{aligned}$$

it follows from Hölder's inequality and $2ab\le a^2+b^2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta Z^1\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2&\le8|\vartheta_1|^2\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}E^2\left[\int_t^T\left(|z_s^2|+|\bar z_s^2|\right)|\delta z_s^2|ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\\&\le16|\vartheta_1|^2\left(\|z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2+\|\bar z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2\right)\|\delta z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2\\&\le128|\vartheta_1|^2\|\xi^2\|_\infty^2\|\delta z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$\|\delta Z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2\le128|\vartheta_2|^2\|\xi^1\|_\infty^2\|\delta z^1\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2.$$

By assumption (ii), I is a contraction. ■

Theorem 2.2 *If $\theta_1>0$ and $\theta_2>0$ and suppose that*

(i) $4\theta_1|\vartheta_1|e^{2\theta_2\|\xi^2\|_\infty}\le\theta_2^2$, $4\theta_2|\vartheta_2|e^{2\theta_1\|\xi^1\|_\infty}\le\theta_1^2$,

(ii) $8L_4^4c_2^2|\vartheta_1|^2e^{2\theta_2\|\xi^2\|_\infty^2}\le c_1\theta_2^2$ *and* $8L_4^4\bar c_2^2|\vartheta_2|^2e^{2\theta_1\|\xi^1\|_\infty^2}\le\bar c_1\theta_1^2$,

where L_4 is given by Lemma B.3, c_1,c_2 (resp. $\bar c_1,\bar c_2$) are given by Lemma B.2 for K equals to $2e^{\theta_1\|\xi_1\|_\infty}$ (resp. $2e^{\theta_2\|\xi_2\|_\infty}$).

Then the system (2) *admits a unique solution (Y,Z) such that Y is bounded and $\|Z^1\cdot W\|_{BMO}\le\frac{e^{\theta_1\|\xi^1\|_\infty}}{\theta_1}$ and $\|Z^2\cdot W\|_{BMO}\le\frac{e^{\theta_2\|\xi^2\|_\infty}}{\theta_2}$.*

Proof. For $i=1,2$ and $z\cdot W\in BMO$ with $\|z\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2\le\frac{1}{4\theta_i|\vartheta_i|}$, from Lemma A.1, the following BSDE

$$Y_t^i=\xi^i+\int_t^T\theta_i|Z_s^i|^2ds+\int_t^T\vartheta_i|z_s|^2ds-\int_t^TZ_s^idW_s\tag{4}$$

admits a unique solution (Y^i, Z^i) such that $(Y^i, Z^i \cdot W) \in \mathcal{S}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times BMO$ and $\|Z^i \cdot W\|_{BMO} \le \frac{e^{\theta_i \|\xi^i\|_\infty}}{\theta_i}$. Let $M = \left\{(z^1, z^2) : \|z^1 \cdot W\|_{BMO} \le \frac{e^{\theta_1 \|\xi^1\|_\infty}}{\theta_1}, \|z^2 \cdot W\|_{BMO} \le \frac{e^{\theta_2 \|\xi^2\|_\infty}}{\theta_2}\right\}$. For $(z^1, z^2) \in M$, define $I(z^1, z^2) = (Z^1, Z^2)$, where Z^i is the second component of solution of equation (4) when z is replaced by z^2 for $i = 1$, and z^1 for $i = 2$. By assumption (i), it is easy to check that I maps M to itself.

For $(z^1, z^2), (\bar{z}^1, \bar{z}^2) \in M$, let $(Z^1, Z^2) = I(z^1, z^2)$ and $(\bar{Z}^1, \bar{Z}^2) = I(\bar{z}^1, \bar{z}^2)$. Denote $\delta Z^i = Z^i - \bar{Z}^i$, $\delta z^i = z^i - \bar{z}^i$, $\delta Y^i = Y^i - \bar{Y}^i$ for $i = 1, 2$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}\delta Y_t^1 &= \int_t^T \theta_1 \left(Z_s^1 + \bar{Z}_s^1\right) \delta Z_s^1 ds + \int_t^T \vartheta_1 \left(z_s^2 + \bar{z}_s^2\right) \delta z_s^2 ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s^1 dW_s \\ &= \int_t^T \vartheta_1 \left(z_s^2 + \bar{z}_s^2\right) \delta z_s^2 ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s^1 d\tilde{W}_s,\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{W}_t := W_t - \int_0^t \theta_1 \left(Z_s^1 + \bar{Z}_s^1\right) ds$ is a Brownian motion under the equivalent probability measure $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = \mathcal{E}_T(\theta_1 \left(Z_s^1 + \bar{Z}_s^1\right) \cdot W)$. Putting the second term on the right hand to the left hand, taking square and conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ and $\tilde{P}$ and using Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta Y_t^1\| + \tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T |\delta Z_s^1|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] &\le \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T \vartheta_1 \left(z_s^2 + \bar{z}_s^2\right) \delta z_s^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\le |\vartheta_1|^2 \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T \left(z_s^2 + \bar{z}_s^2\right)^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T |\delta z_s^2|^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\delta Z^1 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})} \le 2|\vartheta_1|^2 \left(\|z_s^2 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO_4(\tilde{P})} + \|\bar{z}_s^2 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO_4(\tilde{P})}\right) \|\delta z_s^2 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO_4(\tilde{P})}.$$

Lemma B.3 implies

$$\|\delta Z^1 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})} \le 2L_4^4|\vartheta_1|^2 \left(\|z_s^2 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})} + \|\bar{z}_s^2 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})}\right) \|\delta z_s^2 \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})}.$$

Hence there exist constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ given by Lemma B.2 with $K = 2e^{\theta_1 \|\xi^1\|_\infty}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 \|\delta Z^1 \cdot W\|^2_{BMO} &\le 2L_4^4 c_2^2 |\vartheta_1|^2 \left(\|z_s^2 \cdot W\|^2_{BMO} + \|\bar{z}_s^2 \cdot W\|^2_{BMO}\right) \|\delta z_s^2 \cdot W\|^2_{BMO} \\ &\le \frac{4L_4^4 c_2^2 |\vartheta_1|^2 e^{2\theta_2 \|\xi^2\|^2_\infty}}{\theta_2^2} \|\delta z_s^2 \cdot W\|^2_{BMO}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly there are $\bar{c}_1 > 0$ and $\bar{c}_2 > 0$ for $K = 2e^{\theta_2 \|\xi^2\|_\infty}$ s.t.

$$\bar{c}_1 \|\delta Z^2 \cdot W\|^2_{BMO} \le \frac{4L_4^4 \bar{c}_2^2 |\vartheta_2|^2 e^{2\theta_1 \|\xi^1\|^2_\infty}}{\theta_1^2} \|\delta z_s^1 \cdot W\|^2_{BMO}.$$

Assumption (ii) implies that I is a contraction mapping. ■

We finally state an existence result for the BSDE (1) where the coupling is only in the value process. We make the following assumptions:

(H5) $g : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is predictable and $g^i(t, y, z) = g^i(t, y, z^i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. There exist constants $C \ge 0, \theta > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&|g(t, 0, 0)| \le C, \\ &|g(t, y, z) - g(t, y', z')| \le \beta |y - y'| + \theta(1 + |z| + |z'|)|z - z'|,\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$.

(H6) $\xi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$.

Theorem 2.3 *If (H5) and (H6) are satisfied, then the BSDE* (1) *admits a unique solution* (Y, Z) *such that* Y *is bounded and* $Z \cdot W \in BMO$.

Proof. For any $y \in \mathcal{S}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows from Kobylanski [12] that for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, the following BSDE

$$Y_t^i = \xi^i + \int_t^T g^i(s, y_s, Z_s^i)ds - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s \tag{5}$$

admits a unique solution (Y^i, Z^i) such that Y^i is bounded and $Z^i \cdot W \in BMO$. Hence by defining $I(y) = Y$, where the i-th component of Y is given by the first component of solution of BSDE (5), I maps $\mathcal{S}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself. For $y, \bar{y} \in \mathcal{S}^\infty$, let $Y = I(y)$ and $\bar{Y} = I(\bar{y})$. Denote $\delta Z^i = Z^i - \bar{Z}^i$, $\delta y = y - \bar{y}$, $\delta Y = Y - \bar{Y}$ $\delta Y^i = Y^i - \bar{Y}^i$ for $i = 1, 2$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta Y_t^i &= \int_t^T g^i(s, y_s, Z_s^i) - g^i(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{Z}_s^i)ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s^i dW_s \\
&= \int_t^T g^i(s, y_s, Z_s^i) - g^i(s, y_s, \bar{Z}_s^i) + g^i(s, y_s, \bar{Z}_s^i) - g^i(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{Z}_s^i)ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s^i dW_s \\
&= \int_t^T b_s \delta Z_s^i + g^i(s, y_s, \bar{Z}_s^i) - g^i(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{Z}_s^i)ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s^i dW_s,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|b_s| \le \theta(1 + |Z_s^i| + |\bar{Z}_s^i|)$ implies $b \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale. By Girsanov's theorem, $\tilde{W}_t := W_t - \int_0^t b_s ds$ is a Brownian motion under the equivalent probability measure $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = \mathcal{E}_T(b \cdot W)$. Hence

$$\delta Y_t^i = \int_t^T g^i(s, y_s, \bar{Z}_s^i) - g^i(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{Z}_s^i)ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s^i d\tilde{W}_s.$$

Taking conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ and $\tilde{P}$ and using condition (H5), one obtains

$$|\delta Y_t^i| \le (T - t)\beta \|\delta y\|_{\infty, [T-t, T]},$$

where $\|\delta y\|_{\infty, [T-t,T]} := \| \sup_{T-t \le r \le T} |\delta y_r| \|_\infty$. By setting $\lambda = \frac{1}{2\beta n}$, we have on $[T - \delta, T]$,

$$\|\delta Y\|_{\infty, [T-\delta, T]} \le \frac{1}{2} \|\delta y\|_{\infty, [T-\delta, T]}.$$

Thus I defines a contraction on $[T - \lambda, T]$. Then BSDE (1) has a unique solution on $[T - \lambda, T]$ such that Y is bounded. Similarly, with $T - \lambda$ as terminal time and $Y_{T-\lambda}$ as terminal condition, BSDE (1) has a unique solution on $[T - 2\lambda, T - \lambda]$ such that Y is bounded. By pasting, we obtain a unique solution of BSDE (1) on $[T - 2\lambda, T]$ such that Y is bounded. Since λ is a fixed constant, we can extend (Y, Z) to the whole interval $[0, T]$ in finite many steps. Noting that for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$g^i(t, y, z) = g^i(t, y, z^i) = g^i(t, 0, z^i) + g^i(t, y, z^i) - g^i(t, 0, z^i),$$

with $Y \in \mathcal{S}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one has $Z \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale by using a similar argument as in Lemma A.1. Hence, for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t^i &= \xi^i + \int_t^T g^i(s, Y_s, Z_s^i)ds - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s \\
&= \xi^i + \int_t^T g^i(s, Y_s, Z_s^i) - g^i(s, Y_s, 0) + g^i(s, Y_s, 0) - g^i(s, 0, 0) + g^i(s, 0, 0)ds - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s \\
&= \xi^i + \int_t^T \eta_s Z_s^i + g^i(s, Y_s, 0) - g^i(s, 0, 0) + g^i(s, 0, 0)ds - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\eta_s| \leq \theta(1+|Z^i_s|)$ implies that $\eta \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale. By Girsanov's theorem, $\bar{W}_t := W_t - \int_0^t \eta_s ds$ is a Brownian motion under the equivalent probability measure $\frac{d\bar{P}}{dP} = \mathcal{E}_T(b \cdot W)$. Taking conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ and $\bar{P}$ and using condition (H5), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |Y^i_t| &\leq \|\xi^i\|_\infty + \bar{E}\left[\int_t^T |g^i(s,0,0)| + |g^i(s,Y_s,0) - g^i(s,0,0)|ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\leq \|\xi^i\|_\infty + \bar{E}\left[\int_t^T C + \beta|Y_s|ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|Y^i_t| \leq u_t$, where u_t is the solution of the following ODE

$$u_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi^i\|_\infty + nCT + \int_t^T n\beta u_s ds.$$

It is easy to check that the unique solution to the preceding ODE is given by

$$u_t = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi^i\|_\infty + nCT\right) e^{n\beta(T-t)}.$$

Therefore

$$|Y^i_t| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi^i\|_\infty + nCT\right) e^{n\beta(T-t)}.$$

■

Remark 2.4 *Since Y is uniformly bounded, the previous result follows from the arguments in Hu and Tang [10]. For completeness, we give a detailed proof and state the bound for Y explicitly.*

3 Fully coupled systems

In this section, we consider the general case where we have coupledness both in the value process and control process. For the sake of simplicity, we consider only 2-dimensional quadratic BSDEs, an extension to n-dimensions is straightforward. The two dimensional system of BSDEs is given by:

$$Y^i_t = \xi^i + \int_t^T g^i(s, Y^1_s, Y^2_s, Z^1_s, Z^2_s)ds - \int_t^T Z^i_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0,T],\ i = 1,2, \tag{6}$$

where $g^i : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2\times d} \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2\times d})$-measurable by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2\times d})$ denote the Borel sigma-algebra of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2\times d}$ respectively and where the generator is of the form

$$g^i(s, y^1, y^2, z^1, z^2) = f^i(s, z^i) + h^i(s, y^1, y^2, z^1, z^2), \quad i = 1,2.$$

We consider the following conditions. If there is no risk of confusion we write y and z for the vectors (y^1, y^2) and (z^1, z^2) respectively. For each $i = 1,2$, there are constants $C, \gamma_i, \eta_i, \theta_i, \vartheta_i > 0$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$ such that[1]

(B1) $\xi^i \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$,

(B2) $|f^i(t, z^i)| \leq C + \gamma_i |z^i|^2$,

---

[1] When the growth of the generator is purely quadratic, C is allowed to be 0.

(B3) $|f^i(t,z^i) - f^i(t,\bar{z}^i)| \leq \theta_i(1+|z^i|+|\bar{z}^i|)|z^i-\bar{z}^i|$,

(B4) $|h^i(t,y^1,y^2,z^1,z^2) - h^i(t,\bar{y}^1,\bar{y}^2,\bar{z}^1,\bar{z}^2)| \leq \alpha_i|y-\bar{y}| + \vartheta_i(1+|z|+|\bar{z}|)|z-\bar{z}|$,

(B5) $|h^i(t,y^1,y^2,z^1,z^2)| \leq C + \beta_i|y| + \eta_i(|z^1|^2+|z^2|^2)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume (B1)-(B5) and*

(i) $(\beta_1+\beta_2)T < 1$.

(ii) There exists $\delta \in (0,1)$ *such that*

$$D_1 + D_2 \leq \frac{\delta}{2\gamma_1\eta_1} \wedge \frac{\delta}{2\eta_2\gamma_2},$$
$$\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2 < \frac{1}{2A},$$
$$\theta_1^2(T+2D_1) \vee \theta_2^2(T+2D_2) < \frac{1}{72},$$
$$48\alpha_1^2T^2 + 48\alpha_2^2T^2 + \frac{24\alpha_1^2T^2}{1-72\theta_1^2(T+2D_1)} + \frac{24\alpha_2^2T^2}{1-72\theta_2^2(T+2D_2)} < 1,$$
$$144\vartheta_1^2(T+2(D_1+D_2)) + 144\vartheta_2^2(T+2(D_1+D_2)) + \frac{72\vartheta_1^2(T+2(D_1+D_2))}{1-72\theta_1^2(T+2D_1)} + \frac{72\vartheta_2^2(T+2(D_1+D_2))}{1-72\theta_2^2(T+2D_2)} < 1,$$

where

$$D_1 := \frac{\|\xi^1\|_\infty^2 + 4CTA + 2\beta_1TA^2 + \frac{\delta A}{\gamma_1}}{1-2\gamma_1A},$$
$$D_2 := \frac{\|\xi^2\|_\infty^2 + 4CTA + 2\beta_2TA^2 + \frac{\delta A}{\gamma_2}}{1-2\gamma_2A},$$
$$A := \frac{\|\xi^1\|_\infty + \|\xi^2\|_\infty + 4CT + \frac{\ln\frac{1}{1-\delta}}{2\gamma_1} + \frac{\ln\frac{1}{1-\delta}}{2\gamma_2}}{1-(\beta_1+\beta_2)T}.$$

Then the system of BSDEs (6) *has a unique solution* (Y,Z) *such that*

$$\|Y\|_\infty \leq A \quad \textit{and} \quad \|Z\cdot W\|_{BMO} \leq \sqrt{D_1+D_2}.$$

Proof. Fix $y \in \mathcal{S}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let $z \cdot W$ be a BMO martingale with

$$\|z\cdot W\|_{BMO} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{2\gamma_1\eta_1} \vee \sqrt{2\eta_2\gamma_2}}.$$

Define the function I mapping (y,z) to (Y,Z) where for each $i=1,2$, (Y^i,Z^i) is the solution of

$$Y_t^i = \xi^i + \int_t^T f^i(s,Z_s^i) + h^i(s,y_s,z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s.$$

By Lemma A.1, the 1-dimensional equation (6) admits a unique solution (Y^i,Z^i) such that

$$|Y_t^i| \leq \|\xi^i\|_\infty + 2C(T-t) + \beta_i(T-t)\|y\|_\infty + \frac{1}{2\gamma_i}\ln\frac{1}{1-\delta}.$$

By Itô's formula,

$$|Y_t^i|^2 = |\xi^i|^2 + \int_t^T \left(2Y_s^i(f^i(s,Z_s^i) + h^i(s,y^1,y^2,z_s^1,z_s^2)) - |Z_s^i|^2\right) ds - \int_t^T 2Y_s^i Z_s^i dW_s.$$

By (B2) and (B4) and since y and ξ^i are bounded,

$$\begin{aligned}|Y_t^i|^2 &\leq \|\xi^i\|_\infty^2 + 4CT\|Y^i\|_\infty + 2\beta_i T\|y\|_\infty \\ &+ \int_t^T \left(2\|Y^i\|_\infty(\gamma_i|Z_s^i|^2 + \eta_i|z_s|^2) - |Z_s^i|^2\right) ds - \int_t^T 2Y_s^i Z_s^i dW_s.\end{aligned}$$

By taking conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ on both sides of the previous inequality and using the BMO-norm of $z \cdot W$,

$$\|Z^i \cdot W\|_{BMO}^2 \leq \frac{\|\xi^i\|_\infty^2 + 4CT\|Y^i\|_\infty + 2\beta_i T\|Y^i\|_\infty\|y\|_\infty + \frac{\delta\|Y^i\|_\infty}{\gamma_i}}{1 - 2\gamma_i A}.$$

Thus the set of candidate solutions is given by

$$M := \left\{(Y,Z) : \|Y\|_\infty \leq A \text{ and } \|Z \cdot W\|_{BMO} \leq \sqrt{D_1 + D_2}\right\}.$$

Next we show that $I : M \to M$ mapping $(y,z) \mapsto (Y,Z)$ is a contraction. Let $(Y,Z) = I(y,z)$ and $(\bar{Y},\bar{Z}) = I(\bar{y},\bar{z})$. Then by Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}|Y_t^i - \bar{Y}_t^i|^2 &= \int_t^T 2(Y_s^i - \bar{Y}_s^i)(f^i(s,Z_s^i) + h^i(s,y_s,z_s) - f^i(s,\bar{Z}_s^i) - h^i(s,\bar{y}_s,\bar{z}_s))ds \\ &- \int_t^T (Z_s^i - \bar{Z}_s^i)^2 ds - \int_t^T 2(Y_s^i - \bar{Y}_s^i)(Z_s^i - \bar{Z}_s^i)dW_s.\end{aligned}$$

If we take conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ on both sides of the previous equation, the last term of the right hand side vanishes. If in the first term on the right hand side we extract the uniform norm of $(Y - \bar{Y})$ to the outside of the expectation and then by Young's inequality $2ab \leq \frac{1}{4}a^2 + 4b^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|Y_t^i - \bar{Y}_t^i|^2 + E\left[\int_t^T (Z_s^i - \bar{Z}_s^i)^2 ds \Big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\|Y^i - \bar{Y}^i\|_\infty^2 + 4E^2\left[\int_t^T |f^i(s,Z_s^i) + h^i(s,y_s,z_s) - f^i(s,\bar{Z}_s^i) - h^i(s,\bar{y}_s,\bar{z}_s)|ds \Big| \mathcal{F}_t\right]\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\left(\|Y^i - \bar{Y}^i\|_\infty^2 + \|(Z^i - \bar{Z}^i) \cdot W\|_{BMO}^2\right) &\leq \|Y^i - \bar{Y}^i\|_\infty^2 \vee \|(Z^i - \bar{Z}^i) \cdot W\|_{BMO}^2 \\ &\leq \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \left\{|Y_\tau^i - \bar{Y}_\tau^i|^2 + E\left[\int_\tau^T (Z_s^i - \bar{Z}_s^i)^2 ds \Big| \mathcal{F}_\tau\right]\right\}\end{aligned}$$

it follows from Hölder's inequality and $(a+b+c)^2 \leq 3(a^2+b^2+c^2)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\|Y^i - \bar{Y}^i\|_\infty^2 + \|(Z^i - \bar{Z}^i)\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2 \\
&\leq 8 \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}} E^2\left[\int_\tau^T |f^i(s,Z_s^i) + h^i(s,y_s,z_s) - f^i(s,\bar{Z}_s^i) - h^i(s,\bar{y}_s,\bar{z}_s)|ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_\tau\right] \\
&\leq 24 \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\left\{E^2\left[\int_\tau^T \theta_i(1+|Z_s^i|+|\bar{Z}_s^i|)|Z_s^i - \bar{Z}_s^i|ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_\tau\right] + E^2\left[\int_\tau^T \alpha_i|y_s-\bar{y}_s|ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_\tau\right]\right. \\
&\quad\left. + E^2\left[\int_\tau^T \vartheta_i(1+|z_s|+|\bar{z}_s|)|z_s-\bar{z}_s|ds\middle|\mathcal{F}_\tau\right]\right\} \\
&\leq 24\alpha_i^2T^2\|y-\bar{y}\|_\infty^2 + 72\theta_i^2(T+2D_i)\|(Z^i-\bar{Z}^i)\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2 + 72\vartheta_i^2(T+2(D_1+D_2))\|(z-\bar{z})\cdot W\|_{BMO}^2
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption (ii), I is a contraction. ■

In the following theorem we obtain solvability of the system (6) under a slightly different set of conditions.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume (B1)-(B5) and*

(i) $(\beta_1+\beta_2)T < 1.$

(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D_1 + D_2 \leq \frac{1}{4\gamma_1\eta_1} \wedge \frac{1}{4\eta_2\gamma_2}, \\
&\alpha_1^2T^2\left(1+\frac{1}{c_1}\right) + \alpha_2^2T^2\left(1+\frac{1}{\bar{c}_1}\right) < \frac{1}{4}, \\
&\vartheta_1^2c_2L_4^2(T+2c_2L_4^2(D_1+D_2))\left(1+\frac{1}{c_1}\right) + \vartheta_2^2\bar{c}_2L_4^2(T+2\bar{c}_2L_4^2(D_1+D_2))\left(1+\frac{1}{\bar{c}_1}\right) < \frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_1 &:= \frac{e^{2\gamma_1\|\xi^1\|_\infty}}{2\gamma_1^2}\left(1+e^{4\gamma_1CT+2\gamma_1\beta_1TA}(8\gamma_1CT+4\gamma_1\beta_1TA+1)\right), \\
D_2 &:= \frac{e^{2\gamma_2\|\xi^2\|_\infty}}{2\gamma_2^2}\left(1+e^{4\gamma_2CT+2\gamma_2\beta_2TA}(8\gamma_2CT+4\gamma_2\beta_2TA+1)\right), \\
A &:= \frac{\|\xi^1\|_\infty + \|\xi^2\|_\infty + 4CT + \frac{\ln 2}{2\gamma_1} + \frac{\ln 2}{2\gamma_2}}{1-(\beta_1+\beta_2)T},
\end{aligned}
$$

where c_1, c_2 *(resp.* $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2$*) are given by Lemma B.2 with* K *equals to* $2\theta_1D_1$ *(resp.* $2\theta_2D_2$*), and* L_4 *is given by Lemma B.3.*

Then the system of BSDEs (6) *has a unique solution* (Y,Z) *such that*

$$\|Y\|_\infty \leq A \quad \textit{and} \quad \|Z\cdot W\|_{BMO} \leq \sqrt{D_1+D_2}.$$

Proof. In order to obtain the set of candidate solutions

$$M := \left\{(Y,Z) : \|Y\|_\infty \leq A \text{ and } \|Z\cdot W\|_{BMO} \leq \sqrt{D_1+D_2}\right\}$$

we can argue analogously to the proof of Theorem 3.1 by applying Itô's formula to the function $u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\gamma)^2}(e^{2\gamma x} - 1 - 2\gamma x)$ by letting $\gamma = \gamma_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$ as in Lemma A.1. Let $I : M \to M$ map (y, z) to (Y, Z) where (Y^i, Z^i) is the solution of

$$Y_t^i = \xi^i + \int_t^T f^i(s, Z_s^i) + h^i(s, y_s, z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s.$$

For the contraction argument we need to proceed differently. Let $(Y, Z) = I(y, z)$ and $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = I(\bar{y}, \bar{z})$. Defining $\Delta Y = Y^1 - \bar{Y}^1$ and $\Delta Z = Z^1 - \bar{Z}^1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Y &= \int_t^T \left(f^1(s, Z_s^1) + h^1(s, y_s, z_s) - f^1(s, \bar{Z}_s^1) - h^1(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{z}_s)\right) ds - \int_t^T \Delta Z_s dW_s \\ &= \int_t^T \left(b_s \Delta Z_s + h^1(s, y_s, z_s) - h^1(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{z}_s)\right) ds - \int_t^T \Delta Z_s dW_s\end{aligned}$$

where $b_s \le \theta_1(1 + |Z_s^1| + |\bar{Z}_s^1|)$ which implies already that $b \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale. By Girsanov's theorem $\tilde{W}_t := W_t - \int_0^t b_s ds$ is a Brownian motion under the equivalent probability measure $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = \mathcal{E}_T(b \cdot W)$. Hence

$$\Delta Y = \int_t^T \left(h^1(s, y_s, z_s) - h^1(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{z}_s)\right) ds - \int_t^T \Delta Z_s d\tilde{W}_s.$$

First taking square, second conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ and $\tilde{P}$ on both sides of the previous equality, and third by Hölder's inequality and $2ab \le a^2 + b^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&|\Delta Y_t|^2 + \tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T |\Delta Z_s|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &= \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T (h^1(s, y_s, z_s) - h^1(s, \bar{y}_s, \bar{z}_s))ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\le 2\alpha_1^2 \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T |y_s - \bar{y}_s| ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] + 2\vartheta_1^2 \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T (1 + |z_s| + |\bar{z}_s|)|z_s - \bar{z}_s| ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\le 2\alpha_1^2 (T-t)^2 \|y - \bar{y}\|_\infty^2 + 2\vartheta_1^2 \tilde{E}\left[\int_t^T (1 + |z_s| + |\bar{z}_s|)^2 ds \int_t^T |z_s - \bar{z}_s|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\le 2\alpha_1^2 (T-t)^2 \|y - \bar{y}\|_\infty^2 + 2\vartheta_1^2 \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T (1 + |z_s| + |\bar{z}_s|)^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T |z_s - \bar{z}_s|^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma B.3 and $(a+b+c)^2 \leq 3(a^2+b^2+c^2)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T (1+|z_s|+|\bar{z}_s|)^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 3\sqrt{3}\tilde{E}\left[(T-t)^2 + \left(\int_t^T |z_s|^2 ds\right)^2 + \left(\int_t^T |\bar{z}_s|^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 3\sqrt{3}\tilde{E}\left[(T-t)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + 3\sqrt{3}\tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T |z_s|^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + 3\sqrt{3}\tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T |\bar{z}_s|^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 3\sqrt{3}\left(T + \|z_s \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO_4(\tilde{P})} + \|\bar{z}_s \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO_4(\tilde{P})}\right) \\
&\leq 3\sqrt{3}\left(T + L_4^2\|z_s \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})} + L_4^2\|\bar{z}_s \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{E}\left[\left(\int_t^T |z_s-\bar{z}_s|^2 ds\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|(z_s-\bar{z}_s)\cdot\tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO_4(\tilde{P})} \leq L_4^2\|(z_s-\bar{z}_s)\cdot\tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta Y\|^2_\infty + \|\Delta Z \cdot \tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})} \leq{}& 4\alpha_1^2 T^2 \|y-\bar{y}\|^2_\infty \\
&+ 12\sqrt{3}\vartheta_1^2 L_4^2\left(T + L_4^2\|z_s\cdot\tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})} + L_4^2\|\bar{z}_s\cdot\tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})}\right)\|(z_s-\bar{z}_s)\cdot\tilde{W}\|^2_{BMO(\tilde{P})}.
\end{aligned}$$

For two constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ given by Lemma B.2 with $K = 2\theta_1 D_1$, we obtain

$$\|Y^1-\bar{Y}^1\|^2_\infty + c_1\|(Z^1-\bar{Z}^1)\cdot W\|^2_{BMO} \leq 4\alpha_1^2T^2\|y-\bar{y}\|^2_\infty + 12\sqrt{3}\vartheta_1^2 c_2 L_4^2(T+2c_2L_4^2(D_1+D_2))\|(z-\bar{z})\cdot W\|^2_{BMO}.$$

By a similar argument, we obtain

$$\|Y^2-\bar{Y}^2\|^2_\infty + \bar{c}_1\|(Z^2-\bar{Z}^2)\cdot W\|^2_{BMO} \leq 4\alpha_2^2T^2\|y-\bar{y}\|^2_\infty + 12\sqrt{3}\vartheta_2^2 \bar{c}_2 L_4^2(T+2\bar{c}_2L_4^2(D_1+D_2))\|(z-\bar{z})\cdot W\|^2_{BMO}.$$

By assumption (ii), I is a contraction. ■

Remark 3.3 *When the generator is independent of the value process, we can consider unbounded terminal condition as in (iii) of Lemma A.1. By the martingale representation theorem it holds that*

$$\xi = E[\xi] + \int_0^T v_s dW_s.$$

Thus $\hat{Y}_t := Y_t - E[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t]$ *is bounded and*

$$\hat{Y}_t = \int_t^T g(s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T (Z_s - v_s)dW_s, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\varphi(|x|) = |x|^2$ *and* $\varphi(|x|) = \frac{1}{(4\gamma)^2}(e^{4\gamma|x|} - 1 - 4\gamma|x|)$ *and by arguing similarly as in the Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. We obtain similar results as the ones we obtained for the bounded case.*

A Auxiliary result for the one-dimensional BSDE

In this section, we present an extension of Lemma 2.5 in [10]. We consider the following 1-dimensional BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T [f(s, Z_s) + g_s]ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{7}$$

where $f : \Omega\times[0, T]\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathcal{P}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-measurable function and $g : \Omega\times[0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-measurable. We assume that the function $f(\omega, t, z)$ is continuous in z for $P \otimes dt$-almost all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$ and that there exist constants $C \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$|f(\cdot, z)| \leq C + \gamma|z|^2, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, we consider the following conditions:

(A1) There exists a constant $\theta > 0$ such that

$$|f(\cdot, z) - f(\cdot, \bar{z})| \leq \theta(1 + |z| + |\bar{z}|)|z - \bar{z}|, \quad \text{for all } z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(A2) $E[e^{2\gamma|\xi+\int_0^T g_s ds|}] < \infty$.

(A3) $\xi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and $|g| \leq |z|^2$ where $z \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale with $\|z \cdot W\|_{BMO} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\gamma}}$.

(A4) $E[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\cdot] - E[\xi]$ is a BMO martingale with $\|E[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\cdot] - E[\xi]\|_{BMO_1} < \frac{1}{16\gamma}$ and $|g| \leq |z|^2$ where $z \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale with $\|z \cdot W\|_{BMO} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\gamma}}$.

Lemma A.1

(i) Assume that (A2) holds, then the BSDE (7) *has at least a solution* (Y, Z) *such that*

$$-\frac{1}{2\gamma}\ln E\left[e^{-2\gamma\xi+2\gamma C(T-t)-2\gamma\int_t^T g_s ds}\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right] \leq Y_t \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma}\ln E\left[e^{2\gamma\xi+2\gamma C(T-t)+2\gamma\int_t^T g_s ds}\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right], \tag{8}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

(ii) Assume that (A3) holds, then the BSDE (7) *has a solution* (Y, Z) *such that* Y *is bounded and* $Z \cdot W$ *is a BMO martingale with*

$$\|Z \cdot W\|_{BMO} \leq \frac{e^{\gamma\|\xi\|_\infty}}{\sqrt{2\gamma}}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\gamma CT}\left(\frac{2\gamma CT + 2\gamma\|z \cdot W\|^2_{BMO}}{1 - 2\gamma\|z \cdot W\|^2_{BMO}}\right)}. \tag{9}$$

(iii) Assume that (A4) holds, then the BSDE (7) *has a solution* (Y, Z) *such that* $Z \cdot W$ *is a BMO martingale.*

Moreover, suppose that (A1) holds, let $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})$ *solve the BSDE* (7) *where* $\tilde{\xi}$ *and* $\tilde{f}$ *satisfy the set of conditions as in (ii) or (iii), and* $\tilde{\xi} \geq \xi$ *and* $\tilde{f} \geq f$*. Then it holds that* $\tilde{Y}_t \geq Y_t$*. In particular, under (ii) and (iii) the solution is unique.*

Proof.

(i) By Theorem 2 in [3],

$$\bar{Y}_t = \left(\xi + \int_0^T g_s ds\right) + \int_t^T f(s, \bar{Z}_s) ds - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0,T],$$

admits at least a solution $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$ such that

$$-\frac{1}{2\gamma} \ln E\left[e^{-2\gamma\xi + 2\gamma C(T-t) - 2\gamma \int_0^T g_s ds} \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \leq \bar{Y}_t \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \ln E\left[e^{2\gamma\xi + 2\gamma C(T-t) + 2\gamma \int_0^T g_s ds} \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right].$$

Defining $Y_t := \bar{Y}_t - \int_0^t g_s ds$, the pairing $(Y, \bar{Z})$ satisfies the BSDE (7) and Y satisfies (8).

(ii) Since $E[\exp(2\gamma \int_t^T z_s^2 ds) | \mathcal{F}_t] < \infty$ for all $t \in [0,T]$, by Lemma B.1 and $\xi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$ it follows from (8) that Y is bounded by

$$|Y_t| \leq \|\xi\|_\infty + C(T-t) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{1 - 2\gamma \|z \cdot W\|_{BMO}^2}. \tag{10}$$

For $n \geq 1$ let τ_n be the stopping time

$$\tau_n := \inf\left\{t \geq 0 : \int_0^t e^{4\gamma|Y_s|} |Z_s|^2 ds \geq n\right\} \wedge T.$$

Let $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be given by

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\gamma)^2}(e^{2\gamma x} - 1 - 2\gamma x).$$

Then $u(|\cdot|)$ is a $\mathcal{C}^2$-function on $\mathbb{R}$, and by Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned} u(|Y_{t\wedge\tau_n}|) = u(|Y_{\tau_n}|) & \\ &+ \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \left(u'(|Y_s|)\mathrm{sgn}(Y_s)(f(s,Z_s) + g_s) - \frac{1}{2} u''(|Y_s|)|Z_s|^2\right) ds \\ &- \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} u'(|Y_s|)\mathrm{sgn}(Y_s) Z_s dW_s \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(x) = -\mathbf{1}_{\{x \leq 0\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{x > 0\}}$. Since $u'(x) = \frac{1}{2\gamma}(e^{2\gamma x} - 1) \geq 0$ and $(u'' - 2\gamma u')(x) = 1$ for all $x \geq 0$ and by the growth condition on f and (A3) it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} u(|Y_{t\wedge\tau_n}|) \leq u(|Y_{\tau_n}|) & \\ &+ \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} u'(|Y_s|)(C + |z_s|^2) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} |Z_s|^2 ds \\ &- \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} u'(|Y_s|)\mathrm{sgn}(Y_s) Z_s dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

By taking conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$ on both sides of the previous inequality, the last term vanishes whenever $\tau_n \leq t$. On the complement $t < \tau_n$ it is a martingale since it is a local martingale the quadratic variation process of which is bounded by definition. Hence we obtain a uniform norm of the left hand term for each n by (10) and (A3) and thus the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} E\left[\int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \leq u\left(\|\xi\|_\infty\right) & \\ &+ u'\left(\|\xi\|_\infty + CT + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{1 - 2\gamma \|z \cdot W\|_{BMO}^2}\right)(CT + \|z \cdot W\|_{BMO}^2). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the essential supremum over all stopping times in $\mathcal{T}$ to the left hand side of the previous inequality, we get the the following BMO-bound on $Z \cdot W$:

$$\|Z \cdot W\|_{BMO} \leq \frac{e^{\gamma\|\xi\|_\infty}}{\sqrt{2}\gamma}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\gamma CT}\left(\frac{2\gamma CT + 2\gamma\|z \cdot W\|^2_{BMO}}{1 - 2\gamma\|z \cdot W\|^2_{BMO}}\right)}.$$

(iii) By the martingale representation theorem,

$$\xi = E[\xi] + \int_0^T v_s dW_s$$

for some $v \in \mathcal{H}^2$. By Lemma B.1, it follows from $\|E[\xi \,|\, \mathcal{F}_\cdot] - E[\xi]\|_{BMO_1} < \frac{1}{16\gamma}$ that

$$E[e^{4\gamma|\xi|}] < \frac{e^{4\gamma E[|\xi|]}}{1 - 16\gamma\|E[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\cdot]\|_{BMO_1}}$$

Combining the previous estimate with (8) we conclude that $\hat{Y}_t := Y_t - E[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] \in \mathcal{S}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $\hat{Y}$ satisfies the following BSDE

$$\hat{Y}_t = \int_t^T (f(s, Z_s) + g_s)ds - \int_t^T (Z_s - v_s)dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\varphi(|x|) = \frac{1}{(4\gamma)^2}(e^{4\gamma|x|} - 1 - 4\gamma|x|)$ and arguing as in (ii) (by using additionally the inequality $(a-b)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}b^2 - a^2$)[2] we obtain for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(|Y_s|) &= \varphi(|Y_t|) \\
&\quad + \int_s^t \left(\varphi'(|Y_s|)\mathrm{sgn}(Y_s)(f(s, Z_s) + g_s) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(|Y_s|)|Z_s - v_s|^2\right)ds \\
&\quad - \int_s^t \varphi'(|Y_s|)\mathrm{sgn}(Y_s)(Z_s - v_s)dW_s \\
&\leq \varphi(|Y_t|) \\
&\quad + \int_s^t \left(\varphi'(|Y_s|)\mathrm{sgn}(Y_s)(f(s, Z_s) + g_s) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(|Y_s|)|v_s|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\varphi''(|Y_s|)|Z_s|^2\right)ds \\
&\quad - \int_s^t \varphi'(|Y_s|)\mathrm{sgn}(Y_s)(Z_s - v_s)dW_s
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument as in (ii), it holds that $Z \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale.

(iv) Let $\Delta Y := \tilde{Y} - Y$ and $\Delta Z := \tilde{Z} - Z$. Then

$$\Delta Y_t = \tilde{\xi} - \xi + \int_t^T (\tilde{f}(s, \tilde{Z}_s) - \tilde{f}(s, Z_s) + \tilde{f}(s, Z_s) - f(s, Z_s))ds - \int_t^T \Delta Z_s dW_s.$$

We can find a predictable process b such that $|b_s| \leq \theta(1 + |Z_s| + |\tilde{Z}_s|)$ which already implies that $b \cdot W$ is a BMO martingale satisfying the following equation

$$\Delta Y_t = \tilde{\xi} - \xi + \int_t^T (b_s \Delta Z_s + \tilde{f}(s, Z_s) - f(s, Z_s))ds - \int_t^T \Delta Z_s dW_s.$$

---

[2] Indeed, $(a-b)^2 - \frac{1}{2}b^2 + a^2 = 2a^2 - 2ab + \frac{1}{2}b^2 = 2(a - \frac{1}{2}b)^2 \geq 0$.

Let $\tilde{W}_t := W_t - \int_0^t b_s ds$ and define $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} := \mathcal{E}_T(b \cdot W)$. Then

$$\Delta Y_t = \tilde{\xi} - \xi + \int_t^T (\tilde{f}(s, Z_s) - f(s, Z_s))ds - \int_t^T \Delta Z_s d\tilde{W}_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By taking conditional expectation with respect to $\tilde{P}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t$ on both sides of the previous equation it follows that $\Delta Y \geq 0$.

■

B BMO martingales

In this section, we recall some results and properties of BMO martingales, for a thorough treatment, we refer to Kazamaki [11]. For any uniformly integrable martingale M with $M_0 = 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, define

$$\|M\|_{BMO_p} := \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \|E[\langle M\rangle_T - \langle M\rangle_\tau|^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathcal{F}_\tau]^{\frac{1}{p}}\|_\infty.$$

We will use $BMO_p(P)$ when it is necessary to indicate the underlying probability measure, and just write BMO when $p = 2$. We recall the following results from the literature.

Lemma B.1 (John-Nierenberg inequalities) *Let M be a local martingale such that $M_0 = 0$.*

(i) If $\|M\|_{BMO_1} < 1/4$, then for any stopping time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$

$$E\left[\exp\left(|M_T - M_\tau|\right) \big| \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] \leq \frac{1}{1 - 4\|M\|_{BMO_1}}.$$

(ii) If $\|M\|_{BMO} < 1$, then for any stopping time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$

$$E\left[\exp\left(M_T - M_\tau\right) \big| \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|M\|_{BMO}^2}.$$

Lemma B.2 *For $K > 0$, there are constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that for any BMO martingale M, we have for any BMO martingale N such that $\|N\|_{BMO(P)} \leq K$,*

$$c_1\|M\|_{BMO(P)}^2 \leq \|\tilde{M}\|_{BMO(\tilde{P})}^2 \leq c_2\|M\|_{BMO(P)}^2$$

where $\tilde{M} := M - \langle M, N\rangle$ and $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} := \mathcal{E}_T(N)$.

Define

$$\Phi(x) := \left\{1 + \frac{1}{x^2} \log \frac{2x - 1}{2(x - 1)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1, \quad x > 1.$$

By Lemma 2.4 in [10], the constants in the previous lemma are given by

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{L_{2\bar{q}}^4 C_{\bar{p}}^{\frac{2}{\bar{p}}}},$$
$$c_2 = L_{2q}^4 C_p^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{\bar{q}} = 1$, C_p and $C_{\bar{p}}$ are given by Lemma B.4, L_{2q} and $L_{2\bar{q}}$ are given by Lemma B.3, and $p, \bar{p}$ are constants such that $\Phi(p) > K$ and $\Phi(\bar{p}) > \bar{K}$, where $\bar{K} = \sqrt{2(q - 1)\log(C_p + 1)}$.

Lemma B.3 *Let $1 < p < \infty$. There is a positive constant L_p such that for any uniformly integrable martingale M*

$$\|M\|_{BMO_1} \leq \|M\|_{BMO_p} \leq L_p \|M\|_{BMO_1}.$$

If $p \in \mathbb{N}$, L_p is given by $8 \cdot 2^{1/p}(p!)^{1/p}$.

Lemma B.4 *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $\|M\|_{BMO_2} < \Phi(p)$, then*

$$E\left[\mathcal{E}_T(M)^p \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] \leq C_p \mathcal{E}_\tau(M)^p$$

for any stopping time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ with a constant C_p depending only on p. Indeed, $C_p = \frac{2}{1-2(p-1)(2p-1)^{-1}\exp\{p^2 n(M)\}}$ with $n(M) = 2\|M\|_{BMO_1} + \|M\|^2_{BMO_2}$.

References

[1] J.M. Bismut. Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 44: 384-404, 1973.

[2] K. Bahlali, E. H. Essaky, M. Hassani. Multidimensional BSDEs with super-linear growth coefficient: Application to degenerate systems of semilinear PDEs. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 348(11-12): 677-682, 2010.

[3] P. Briand and Y. Hu. BSDE with quadratic growth and unbounded terminal value. *Probability Theory and Related Fields.* 136: 604-618, 2006.

[4] P. Briand and Y. Hu. Quadratic BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions. *Probability Theory and Related Fields.* 141: 543-567, 2008.

[5] P. Cheridito and K. Nam. BSDEs with terminal conditions that have bounded Malliavin derivative. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 266: 1257-1285, 2014.

[6] P. Cheridito and K. Nam. Multidimensional quadratic and subquadratic BSDEs with special structure. *ArXiv-Preprint.* 1309.6716v2, 2014.

[7] F. Delbaen, Y. Hu, X. Bao. Backward SDEs with superquadratic growth. *Probability Theory and Related Fields.* 150: 145-192, 2011.

[8] C. Frei. Splitting multidimensional BSDEs and finding local equilibria. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 124: 2654-2671, 2-14.

[9] C. Frei and dos Reis. A financial market with interacting investors: does an equilibrium exist? *Math. Financ. Econ.* 4: 161-182, 2011.

[10] Y. Hu and S. Tang. Multi-dimensional backward stochastic differential equations of diagonally quadratic generators. *ArXiv-Preprint.* 1408.4579v1, 2014.

[11] N. Kazamaki. Continuous Exponential Martingale and BMO. Volume 1579 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[12] M.Kobylanski. Backward stochastic differential equations and partial differential equations with quadratic growth. *Annals of Probability.* 28(2): 558-602, 2000.

[13] F. Masiero and A. Richou. A note on the existence of solutions to Markovian superquadratic BSDEs with an unbounded ternimal condtion. *Electron. J. Probab* 18(50): 1-15, 2013.

[14] E.Pardoux and S. G. Peng. Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equations. *System Controll Lett.* 14: 55-61, 1990.

[15] A. Richou. Markovian quadratic and superquadratic BSDEs with an unbounded terminal condition. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 122: 3173-3208, 2012.

[16] R. Tevzadze. Solvability of backward stochastic differential equations with quadratic growth. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 118: 503-515, 2008.